

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,686	01/09/2001	Charles Biggs	40001028	7230	
7	. 04/22/2003				
Maria C. Walsh, Esquire Honeywell Building Nichols 4 101 Columbia Road P.O. Box 2245			EXAMINER PRICE, CARL D		
			Morristown, NJ 07962-2245		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		$M\Lambda$				
•	Application N	o. (Applicant(s)					
	09/905,686		BIGGS, CHARLES					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	CARL D. PRIC		3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, ho eply within the statutory r od will apply and will expi ute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
,— · · · —	 This action is non	-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			rosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requi	rement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin				•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc								
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				•				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			TVEG by the Examine	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	• •	20tion.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	motor in the second							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ian priority under	35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(</i> 2	a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority andor	00 0.0.0.3 110(., (4) 51 (1).					
, , ,	ents have been re	ceived						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
_ , , ,	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a li			ed.					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO					

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Objection to Drawings

The drawings are objected to because of the reasons set forth on the attached PTO FORM.

Correction is required.

Color photographs and color drawings are acceptable only for examination purposes unless a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.84(a)(2) is granted permitting their use as acceptable drawings. In the event that applicant wishes to use the drawings currently on file as acceptable drawings, a petition must be filed for acceptance of the color photographs or color drawings as acceptable drawings. Any such petition must be accompanied by the appropriate fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h), three sets of color drawings or color photographs, as appropriate, and an amendment to the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings section of the specification which states:

Art Unit: 3743

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Color photographs will be accepted if the conditions for accepting color drawings have been satisfied. See 37 CFR 1.84, "Standards for drawings" reproduced below.

37 CFR 1.84. Standards for drawings.

- (a) Drawings. There are two acceptable categories for presenting drawings in utility and design patent applications.
- (1) Black ink. Black and white drawings are normally required. India ink, or its equivalent that secures solid black lines, must be used for drawings; or
- (2) <u>Color.</u> On rare occasions, color drawings may be necessary as the only practical medium by which to disclose the subject matter sought to be patented in a utility or design patent application or the subject matter of a statutory invention registration. The color drawings must be of sufficient quality such that all details in the drawings are reproducible in black and white in the printed patent. Color drawings are not permitted in international applications (see PCT Rule 11.13), or in an application, or copy thereof, submitted under the Office electronic filing system. The Office will accept color drawings in utility or design patent applications and statutory invention registrations only after granting a petition filed under this paragraph explaining why the color drawings are necessary. Any such petition must include the following:
- (i) The fee set forth in § 1.17(h);
- (ii) Three (3) sets of color drawings;
- (iii) A black and white photocopy that accurately depicts, to the extent possible, the subject matter shown in the color drawing; and
- (iv) An amendment to the specification to insert (unless the specification contains or has been previously amended to contain) the following language as the first paragraph of the brief description of the drawings:

The patent or application file contains at least one drawing executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3743

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,6,7,8,9,10, 17,18,19,20 and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baumer.

Baumer discloses a molded candle formed from a mixture of about 80 parts hydrogenated vegetable derived compound 20 percent insect wax (beeswax). The composition of Baumer would inherently provide a surface layer with an appearance selected from the group consisting of a finger print pattern, sunburst pattern, a tortoise pattern, a spotted pattern and combinations of two of these" and "have improved mold release properties, in the manner broadly set forth in applicant's claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5,11-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumer in view of Will.

Art Unit: 3743

Baumer discloses a molded candle formed from a mixture of about 80 parts hydrogenated vegetable derived compound 20 percent insect wax (beeswax). The composition of Baumer would inherently provide a surface layer with an appearance selected from the group consisting of a finger print pattern, sunburst pattern, a tortoise pattern, a spotted pattern and combinations of two of these" and "have improved mold release properties, in the manner broadly set forth in applicant's claims. Baumer does not disclose the vegetable wax to be made from a mixture of stearic acid and an ester of stearic acid, as set forth in applicant's claims.

Will teaches, form the same candle making field of endeavor as Baumer, forming nonparaffin based candles from mixtures of stearic acid and hydrogenated vegetable oil.

In regard to claims 2-5,11-16 and 21, for the purpose of providing a solid and self-sustaining candle body, it would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the hydrogenated vegetable oil of Baumer to include stearic acid. Since the relative proportions of beeswax, stearic acid and types esters employed in a given mixture would depend on numerous design concerns such as the overall size and shape of the candle, length of time and rate of cooling, etc., to select the proportions of beeswax, stearic acid and types esters set forth in the claims can be viewed as nothing more than mere matters of choice in design absent the showing of any new or unexpected results produced therefrom over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3743

Conclusion

See the attached PTO FORM 982 for prior art made of record and not relied upon which

is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

<u>USPTO CUSTOMER CONTACT INFORMATION</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CARL D. PRICE whose telephone number is 703-3081953. The

examiner can normally be reached each weekday from 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1148/0858.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3743

April 15, 2003